

ISSN 2709–3662 (Print) ISSN 2709–3670 (Online) https://doi.org/10.52587/JAF050105 Journal of Agriculture and Food 2024, Volume 5, No.1, pp. 69-75

Analysis of the Interrelationships between Various Body Dimensions in Young Lambs

Sidra Fida¹, Asma Akram¹, Amjad Farooq¹, Abdul Waheed², Asim Faraz^{2*}, Syeda Maryam Hussain³, Zeeshan Muhammad Iqbal⁴, Muhammad Arslan Akbar⁵, Muhammad Rizwan⁶, Chanda Liaqat⁷, Sadia Sanaullah⁶, Anam Zohra⁸ and Nasir Ali Tauqir⁹

Abstract

The aim of the current study was to examine the relationship between various body measurements of native sheep in the Southern Punjab area of Pakistan. Data (n = 97) on several linear body measurements, along with the animals' identities, were recorded for this purpose. Lambs aged 1 to 6 months were included in the study. Two flocks of animals were involved, and both male and female participants were considered. The body measurements used in this study included: body length, ear length, ear width, head width, withers height, head length, heart girth, neck width, neck length, rump width, rump length, and tail length. The data were collected, updated, and checked for normal distribution. Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to assess the relationships between the measurements. This study provides valuable insights into the associations between different body measurements of native sheep.

Keyword: Body measurements, Pearson correlation, Sheep, Linear measurements Article History: Received: 19th September, 2023; Revised: 23rd February, 2025 Accepted: 27th February, 2025.

¹Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan; ²Department of Livestock and Poultry Production, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan; ³Department of Livestock Production and Management, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University Rawalpindi, Pakistan; ⁴Department of Livestock Management, Cholistan University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Bahawalpur; ⁵Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences Bahawalpur; ⁶Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, Lahore; ⁷Department of Zoology, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan; ⁹Department of Animal Nutrition, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur, Pakistan

Introduction

Sheep (*Ovis aries*) are an important breed of livestock that provide mutton, wool, and other byproducts. In meat animals, size and shape are important characteristics. Animals are often evaluated visually, which is a specific method of assessment (Yakubu, 2003; Abanikannda et al., 2002). Accurate measurements of body shape and size can improve growth selection by enabling breeders to distinguish between animals that mature slowly and those that mature rapidly, even when they have different proportions.

The relationship between morphological characteristics and body weight in South African native sheep was studied using standard statistical techniques, such as path analysis. This study aimed to develop a functional model for estimating body weight based on various body measurements and to identify both direct and indirect causal relationships between the body weight of Indigenous sheep and their linear body measurements (Tyasi et al., 2015). The current research focuses on identifying correlations between linear body measurements and this will serve as a guide in the respective field for further investigations.

Materials and Methods

The purpose of this study was to examine the sheep flocks that can be located in and around Multan. The identities of sheep with the date of birth, lambing dates, types of births, and other relevant information have been recorded with the data on their various body measurements. Body measurements recorded were as follows: Body length, Ear length, Ear width, Head width, Withers height, Head length, Heart girth, Neck width, Neck length, Rump width, Rump length, and Tail length.

A vernier caliper set in centimeters and tailor tape were used to measure linear body measurements. The data was edited, and then Pearson's correlation coefficient was used to evaluate it.

$$rxy = \sum (X - X) (Y - \overline{Y}) / \sqrt{(\sum (X - X)^2) (\sum (Y - Y)^2)}$$

The statistical package for the social sciences (SPSS) was used throughout the whole analysis.

Results and Discussions

In the current study, a significant correlation was found between withers height (WH) and body length (BL), with a range of 0.86–0.92 cm. This correlation is higher than the findings of previous studies by Mule et al. (2014), Dekhili and Aggoun (2014), Yakubu (2012), Ravimurugan et al. (2013), Afolayan et al. (2006), Tadesse et al. (2012), Tariq et al. (2012) and Yunusa et al. (2013). However, it is lower than the correlations reported by Fajemilehin and Salako (2008), Khan et al. (2006), and Yakubu (2010).

The average correlation between WH and head length (HL) in our study ranged from 0.41 to 0.84 cm, which aligns with the results of Yunusa et al. (2013). The correlation between head width and withers height ranged from 0.29 to 0.60 cm, similar to findings by Yunusa et al. (2013), Yakubu (2010), and Yakubu (2012). The relationship between WH and ear length (EL) in the present study (0.53–0.83 cm) was also comparable to the results of Yunusa et al. (2013) and Yakubu and Muhammad (2012).

Our study found higher correlations between WH and heart girth (HG), with values ranging from 0.84 to 0.93 cm. These results are consistent with those of Afolayan et al. (2006), Yakubu (2010), Yunusa et al. (2013), and Yakubu and Muhammad (2012).

However, some studies, including those by Fajemilehin and Salako (2008) and Kamarudin et al. (2011), reported wider ranges for these values.

Withers height and rump length (RL) showed correlations between 0.67 and 0.90 cm, which were higher than those found by Yakubu (2012) but comparable to the studies of Yunusa et al. (2013) and Yakubu (2010). The correlation between WH and rump width (RW) ranged from 0.46 to 0.90 cm, which was higher than the values reported by Yunusa et al. (2013), but similar to those found by Yakubu (2010) and Yakubu (2012). The correlation between WH and tail length (TL) ranged from 0.03 to 0.84 cm, consistent with the results of Yunusa et al. (2013) and Tariq et al. (2012).

Body length (BL) and head width (HW) had correlations ranging from 0.42 to 0.58 cm, which were greater than those reported by Tyasi et al. (2015) but lower than those observed by Yunusa et al. (2013), Yakubu (2010), Petrovic et al. (2012), and Yakubu (2012). Our study also showed body length and ear length (EL) correlations ranging from 0.50 to 0.71 cm, higher than those of Yunusa et al. (2013) but lower than the results of Yakubu and Muhammad (2012).

The body length and heart girth (HG) correlations ranged from 0.82 to 0.90 cm, supporting findings from Afolayan et al. (2006), Tariq et al. (2012), Yakubu and Muhammad (2012). However, these values were lower than those reported by Fajemilehin and Salako (2008), Kamarudin et al. (2011). Our findings for BL and rump length (RL) ranged from 0.65 to 0.83 cm, which were lower than those reported by Yakubu (2010) but higher than those of Yunusa et al. (2013).

Finally, correlations between rump width (RW) and body length (BL) ranged from 0.44 to 0.87 cm. These values were lower than those reported by Petrovic et al. (2012) but consistent with the findings of Yakubu (2010), Yakubu (2012), and Yunusa et al. (2013). **Table 1.** Correlation coefficient among the various body measurements of flock 1's female sheep, aged 1-6 months

| Traits | WH | BL | HL | HW | EL | EW | NL | NW | HG | RL | RW |
|-----------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|---------|---------|---------|
| BL | 0.92** | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| HL | 0.84** | 0.753** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| HW | 0.56** | 0.580** | 0.600** | 1 | | | | | | | |
| EL | 0.833** | 0.712** | 0.798** | 0.514* | 1 | | | | | | |
| EW | 0.812** | 0.699** | 0.670** | 0.544* | 0.802** | 1 | | | | | |
| NL | 0.815** | 0.833** | 0.782** | 0.567** | 0.792** | 0.629** | 1 | | | | |
| NW | 0.919** | 0.931** | 0.811** | 0.672** | 0.721** | 0.806** | 0.787** | 1 | | | |
| HG | 0.930** | 0.884** | 0.914** | 0.668** | 0.769** | 0.713** | 0.774** | 0.920** | 1 | | |
| RL | 0.802** | 0.732** | 0.833** | 0.457* | 0.663** | 0.672** | 0.636** | 0.770** | 0.788** | 1 | |
| RW | 0.896** | 0.782** | 0.920** | 0.517* | 0.813** | 0.754** | 0.748** | 0.821** | 0.905** | 0.906** | 1 |
| TL | 0.841* | 0.727* | 0.868* | 0.658* | 0.748* | 0.698* | 0.676* | 0.753* | 0.841* | 0.853* | 0.891** |
| WH: Withe | rs Height; BI | L: Body Leng | th; HW: Head | d width; NL: | Neck Length | ; EL: Ear Ler | ngth; HL: Hea | d Length; N | W: Neck | | |

Width; RW: Rump Width; EW: Ear Width; RL: Rump length; HG: Heart Girth; TL: Tail Length.

 Table 2. The correlation coefficient among the various body measurements of flock 1's male sheep aged 1-6 months

| Trait | WH | BL | HL | HW | EL | EW | NL | NW | HG | RL | RW |
|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| BL | 0.861** | | | | | | | | | | |
| HL | 0.787** | 0.790** | | | | | | | | | |
| HW | 0.588** | 0.521* | 0.502* | | | | | | | | |
| EL | 0.662** | 0.606** | 0.702** | 0.252 ^{NS} | | | | | | | |

Interrelation between various body dimension of young lambs

| EW | 0.645** | 0.582* | 0.446 ^{NS} | 0.196 ^{NS} | 0.646** | | | | | | |
|----|---------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|
| NL | 0.859** | 0.772** | 0.712** | 0.552* | 0.529* | 0.709** | | | | | |
| NW | 0.926** | 0.830** | 0.845** | 0.559* | 0.644** | 0.587* | 0.809** | | | | |
| HG | 0.927** | 0.896** | 0.832** | 0.572* | 0.619** | 0.662** | 0.816** | 0.906** | | | |
| RL | 0.904** | 0.833** | 0.775** | 0.631** | 0.518* | 0.545* | 0.810** | 0.931** | 0.919** | | |
| RW | 0.851** | 0.868** | 0.690** | 0.598* | 0.426 ^{NS} | 0.492* | 0.795** | 0.872** | 0.894** | 0.950** | |
| TL | 0.571* | 0.587* | 0.379 ^{NS} | 0.225 ^{NS} | 0.381 ^{NS} | 0.395 ^{NS} | 0.555* | 0.473 ^{NS} | 0.549* | 0.405 ^{NS} | 0.467 ^{NS} |

WH: Withers Height; BL: Body Length; HW: Head width; NL: Neck Length; EL: Ear Length; HL: Head Length; NW: Neck Width; RW: Rump Width; EW: Ear Width; RL: Rump length; HG: Heart Girth; TL: Tail Length. **Table 3.** Correlation coefficient among the various body measurements of flock 2's female sheep,

aged 1-6 months

| Trait | WH | BL | HL | HW | EL | EW | NL | NW | HG | RL | RW |
|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| WH | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| BL | 0.885** | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| HL | 0.749** | 0.697** | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| HW | 0.602** | 0.493** | 0.677** | 1 | | | | | | | |
| EL | 0.585** | 0.501** | 0.274 ^{NS} | 0.158 ^{NS} | 1 | | | | | | |
| EW | 0.622** | 0.513** | 0.557** | 0.448** | 0.699** | 1 | | | | | |
| NL | 0.705** | 0.686** | 0.633** | 0.533** | 0.442** | 0.555** | 1 | | | | |
| NW | 0.730** | 0.749** | 0.416** | 0.463** | 0.554** | 0.432** | 0.670** | 1 | | | |
| HG | 0.844** | 0.861** | 0.660** | 0.455** | 0.509** | 0.583** | 0.715** | 0.638** | 1 | | |
| RL | 0.742** | 0.745** | 0.543** | 0.568* | 0.384* | 0.456** | 0.554** | 0.617** | 0.716** | 1 | ** |
| RW | 0.606** | 0.622** | 0.402* | 0.370* | 0.396* | 0.474** | 0.518** | 0.629** | 0.580** | 0.730** | 1 |
| TL | 0.030 ^{NS} | 0.172 ^{NS} | 0.251 ^{NS} | 0.187 ^{NS} | 0.052 ^{NS} | - | 0.199 ^{NS} | 0.038 ^{NS} | 0.028 ^{NS} | 0.116 ^{NS} | 0.021 ^{NS} |
| | | | | | | 0.085 ^{NS} | | | | | |

WH: Withers Height; BL: Body Length; HW: Head width; NL: Neck Length; EL: Ear Length; HL: Head Length; NW: Neck Width; RW: Rump Width; EW: Ear Width; RL: Rump length; HG: Heart Girth; TL: Tail Length.

 Table 4. Correlation coefficient among the various body measurements of flock 2's male sheep, aged 1-6 months

| Traits | WH | BL | HL | HW | EL | EW | NL | NW | HG | RL | RW |
|--------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|----|----|
| WH | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| BL | 0.864* | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| HL | 0.410* | 0.473* | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| HW | 0.288 ^{NS} | 0.424* | 0.108 ^{NS} | 1 | | | | | | | |
| EL | 0.533** | 0.563** | 0.174 ^{NS} | 0.163 ^{NS} | 1 | | | | | | |
| EW | 0.336 ^{NS} | 0.435* | 0.340 ^{NS} | 0.131 ^{NS} | 0.604** | 1 | | | | | |
| NL | 0.605** | 0.552** | 0.370 ^{NS} | 0.176 ^{NS} | 0.196 ^{NS} | 0.052 ^{NS} | 1 | | | | |
| NW | 0.577** | 0.435* | 0.104 ^{NS} | - | 0.266 ^{NS} | 0.089 ^{NS} | 0.583** | 1 | | | |
| | | | | 0.162 ^{NS} | | | | | | | |
| HG | 0.866** | 0.822** | 0.316 ^{NS} | 0.058 ^{NS} | 0.449* | 0.241 ^{NS} | 0.585** | 0.602** | 1 | | |
| RL | 0.670** | 0.647** | 0.397 ^{NS} | 0.154 ^{NS} | 0.322 ^{NS} | 0.562** | 0.434* | 0.256 ^{NS} | 0.615** | 1 | |

Fida et al.

| RW | 0.462* | 0.445* | 0.079* | 0.021 ^{NS} | 0.36 ^{NS} | 0.316 ^{NS} | 0.188 ^{NS} | 0.351 ^{NS} | 0.480* | 0.386 | 1 |
|----|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | NS | |
| TL | 0.217 ^{NS} | 0.178 ^{NS} | 0.108 ^{NS} | 0.093 ^{NS} | 0.027 ^{NS} | 0.114 ^{NS} | - | 0.051 ^{NS} | 0.154 ^{NS} | - | 0.198 ^{NS} |
| | | | | | | | 0.062 ^{NS} | | | 0.007 ^{NS} | |

WH: Withers Height; BL: Body Length; HW: Head width; NL: Neck Length; EL: Ear Length; HL: Head Length; NW: Neck Width; RW: Rump Width; EW: Ear Width; RL: Rump length; HG: Heart Girth; TL: Tail Length.

Table 5. Mean values of each trait (1-6 months lambs)

| Flock | WH | BL | HL | HW | EL | EW | NL | NW | HG | RL | RW | TL |
|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| G1 | 57.96 | 55.33 | 18.25 | 9.84 | 25.34 | 11.68 | 22.42 | 14.09 | 60.87 | 10.63 | 10.17 | 9.70 |
| G2 | 65.55 | 63.80 | 22.61 | 10.65 | 27.08 | 12.06 | 25.46 | 16.13 | 69.55 | 13.06 | 12.62 | 10.31 |
| G3 | 52.72 | 50.61 | 19.04 | 6.88 | 23.45 | 10.03 | 19.56 | 12.36 | 54.10 | 10.33 | 16.40 | 10.06 |
| G4 | 52.99 | 50.21 | 19.61 | 7.08 | 24.50 | 10.10 | 19.06 | 12.85 | 54.59 | 10.38 | 16.95 | 10.05 |

G1= flock 1 female; G2=Flock 1 male; G3=Flock 2 female; G4=Flock 2 male; WH: Withers Height; BL: Body Length; HW: Head width; NL: Neck Length; EL: Ear Length; HL: Head Length; NW: Neck Width; RW: Rump Width; EW: Ear Width; RL: Rump length; HG: Heart Girth; TL: Tail Length.

Conclusion

The results revealed a wide range of strong and significant correlations, suggesting that if a few body measurements are known, the remaining measurements can be accurately predicted. Additionally, innovative models, as well as different animal types and age groups, can be utilized to assess the body measurements of young animals. However, to reach more definitive conclusions, further research is needed to obtain more accurate data on the body dimensions of smaller animals, such as sheep and goats.

Competing of Interest

The authors declare that the research was carried out without any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgment: This work was supported by the Institute of pure and applied biology and Department of Livestock and Poultry Production, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan. Collecting samples was supported by the Department of Livestock and Poultry Production.

Funding: Not applicable.

Ethical statement: This article does not contain any studies regarding human or Animal cruelty only body measurements of animals were taken.

Code availability: Not applicable.

Consent to participate: All authors participated in this research study.

Consent for publication: All authors submitted consent to publish this research.

Data availability statement: The data presented in this study are available on request. **References**

Abanikannda, O. T. F., Leigh, A. O., & Olutogun, O. (2002). Linear measurements based discriminant classification of Zebu cattle in Lagos State. In Contributory Role of Animal Production in National Development: Proceedings of the 7th Annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria (pp. 16–19). Interrelation between various body dimension of young lambs

- Afolayan, R. A., Adeyinka, I. A., & Lakpini, C. A. M. (2006). The estimation of live weight from body measurements in Yankasa sheep. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 51(8), 343–349.
- Dekhili, M., & Aggoun, A. (2014). Path coefficient analysis of body weight and biometric traits in Ouled-Djellal breed. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(48), 3492–3500.
- Fajemilehin, O. S., & Salako, A. E. (2008). Body measurement characteristics of the West African Dwarf (WAD) Goat in the deciduous forest zone of Southwestern Nigeria. African Journal of Biotechnology, 7(14), 2324–2328.
- Khan, H., Muhammad, F., Ahmad, R. I. A. Z., Nawaz, G., Zubair, R., & Zubair, M. (2006). Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in goats. *Journal of Agricultural and Biological Science*, 1(3), 51–54.
- Kamarudin, N. A. (2011). The relationship between body weight and linear body measurements in Boer goats (Doctoral dissertation, Universiti Putra Malaysia).
- Mule, M. R., Barbind, R. P., & Korake, R. L. (2014). Relationship of body weight with linear body measurements in Osmanabadi goats. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 48(2), 155–158.
- Petrovic, M. P., Caro Petrovic, V., Ruzic-Muslic, D., Ilic, Z. Z., Spasic, Z., Stojkovic, J., & Milenkovic, M. V. (2012). Genetic and phenotypic aspects of the body measured traits in Merinolandschaf breed of sheep. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 28(4), 733–741.
- Ravimurugan, T., Thiruvenkadan, A. K., Sudhakar, K., Panneerselvam, S., & Elango, A. (2013). The estimation of body weight from body measurements in Kilakarsal sheep of Tamil Nadu, India. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*, 3(2), 357–360.
- Sreenivasu, B., Rao, G. N., Gupta, B. R., & Satyanarayana, A. (2003). A study on body weights and biometrical measurements of Deccani sheep under field conditions. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 37(2), 133–135.
- Tadesse, A., Gebremariam, T., & Gangwar, S. K. (2012). Application of linear body measurements for predicting body weight of Abergelle goat breed in Tigray region, Northern-Ethiopia. *Global Journal of Biosciences and Biotechnology*, 1(2), 314–319.
- Tariq, M. M., Eyduran, E., Bajwa, M. A., Waheed, A., Iqbal, F., & Javed, Y. (2012). Prediction of body weight from testicular and morphological characteristics in indigenous Mengali sheep of Pakistan using factor analysis scores in multiple linear regression analysis. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 14(4), 602–607.
- Tyasi, T. L., Tyasi, Y. F., Tyasi, A. L., Lagu, S., & Ngambu, S. (2015). A study of the relationship between body weight and morphological traits by using path analysis in South African indigenous sheep. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*, 5(10), 1–4.
- Yakubu, A. (2003). Phenotypic variation in the body measurements of Uda sheep (Unpublished MSc. thesis). University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria.
- Yakubu, A., & Mohammed, G. L. (2012). Application of path analysis methodology in assessing the relationship between body weight and biometric traits of Red

Sokoto goats in Northern Nigeria. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*, 28(1), 107–117.

- Yakubu, A. (2010). Path coefficient and path analysis of body weight and biometric traits of Yankasa lambs. *Slovak Journal of Animal Science*, 43(1), 17–25.
- Yakubu, A. (2012). Application of regression tree methodology in predicting the body weight of Uda sheep. *Animal Science and Biotechnologies*, 45(2), 484–490.
- Yunusa, A. J., Salako, A. E., & Oladejo, O. A. (2013). Principal component analysis of the morphostructure of Uda and Balami sheep of Nigeria. *International Research Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1(3), 45–51.

Citation

Fida, S., Akram, A., Farooq, A., Waheed, A., Faraz, A., Hussain, S. M., Iqbal, Z. M., Akbar, M. A., Rizwan, M., Liaqat, C., Sanaullah, S., Zohra, A., & Tauqir, N. A. (2024). Analysis of the interrelationships between various body dimensions in young lambs. *Journal of Agriculture and Food*, 5(1), 69–75.